

DYNAMICAL COMPACTIFICATION, STANDARD COSMOLOGY AND THE ACCELERATING UNIVERSE

N. Mohammadi ^{*,†,‡}

*Division of Theoretical Physics, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Chadwick Building, The University of Liverpool,
Liverpool, L69 3BX, England, U.K.*

A cosmological model based on Kaluza-Klein theory is studied. A metric, in which the scale factor of the compact space evolves as an inverse power of the radius of the observable universe, is constructed. The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker equations of standard four-dimensional cosmology are obtained precisely. The pressure in our universe is an effective pressure expressed in terms of the components of the higher dimensional energy-momentum tensor. In particular, this effective pressure could be negative and might therefore explain the acceleration of our present universe. A special feature of this model is that, for a suitable choice of the parameters of the metric, the higher dimensional gravitational coupling constant could be negative.

February 2002

I. INTRODUCTION

The idea that our four-dimensional world could have emerged, through compactification, from a higher dimensional space-time is receiving much attention nowadays (see [1] for a review). However, the question of why and how does this compactification take place remains to be answered. In string theory, considered to be a potential candidate for unifying gravity with the other forces, the higher dimensional manifold has to possess special properties. There, the compactification is possible if the geometry of the manifold allows, for instance, the existence of suitable Killing vectors. The major difficulty is, however, to understand why such manifolds are favoured and whether other means for carrying out compactification are possible.

In cosmology other types of compactifications, not necessarily relying on Killing vectors, could be encountered. This approach is known as dynamical compactification. Here the extra dimensions evolve in time to extremely small sizes and the universe reduces to an effective four-dimensional one. This type of compactification was considered in the context of Kaluza-Klein theories by Chodos and Detweiler [2]. However, the use of extra dimensions in cosmology was already initiated in [3]. The study of ref. [2] is based on a Kasner type metric in the vacuum and various issues were later explored by other authors [4–33].

Naturally, one would like to know how does this effective four-dimensional universe evolve and whether the corresponding cosmology differs from the standard Friedmann-Robertson-Walker of ordinary space-time without extra dimensions. Most of the investigations in this context have dealt with finding solutions to the higher dimensional equations. Some of these solutions are indeed of the form found in ordinary four-dimensional cosmology à la Friedmann-Robertson-Walker. However, this could hardly be considered as an indication that standard cosmology is obtainable from higher dimensions upon compactification.

In this paper we will revisit the programme of dynamical compactification using a more general metric as compared to ref. [2]. We will also include matter without specifying its nature or origin (see refs. [13,34,35] for some derivations of the matter contribution in Kaluza-Klein cosmology). The size of the compact space evolves as an inverse power of the radius of our universe. It will be shown that standard Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology is obtained. Indeed, we are able to find an effective pressure in such a way that the higher dimensional equations of motion yield the usual equations of motion of ordinary four-dimensional cosmology. There is one remaining equation which simply determines, in terms of the radius of our universe, the pressure along the compact dimensions.

We start, in this paper, by outlining the main points of the standard model of cosmology in four dimensions. In section three, the higher dimensional model is presented together with its equations of motion. These are shown to

^{*}En délégation au CNRS.

[†]Permanent address: Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, Université François Rabelais, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Parc de Grandmont, F-37200 Tours, France.

[‡]E-mail: noure@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr

yield the four-dimensional equations of motion stated in section two. Finally, some particular solutions are given in section four. The implications of our model are also discussed.

II. STANDARD FOUR DIMENSIONAL COSMOLOGY

In order to compare standard cosmology with Kaluza-Klein cosmology, it is instructive to briefly recall the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker equations. We start from the four-dimensional action

$$S = \alpha \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \{R - 2\Lambda\} + S_m^{(4)} \quad , \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi G}$ in a system of units where the speed of light $c = 1$, Λ is a cosmological constant and $S_m^{(4)}$ is the action for the matter fields. The variation of the above action with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ leads to

$$2\alpha \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \right) = T_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the contribution of the matter action $S_m^{(4)}$ and is defined by $\delta_g S_m^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}$. Standard cosmology is built on the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right] \quad . \quad (2.3)$$

Here k is the spatial curvature. This metric is accompanied by the energy-momentum tensor T_ν^μ

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag} [-\varrho(t), P(t), P(t), P(t)] \quad . \quad (2.4)$$

The equations of motion reduce to the following two equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varrho}{2\alpha} &= 3H^2 + \frac{3k}{a^2} - \Lambda \\ \frac{P}{2\alpha} &= -2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - H^2 - \frac{k}{a^2} + \Lambda \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Here a dot denotes differentiation with respect to t and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter.

Combining these last two equations results in

$$6\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} (\varrho + 3P) - 2\Lambda = 0 \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

The combination $(\varrho + 3P)$ is a typical characteristic of four-dimensional cosmology. This remark will be of use when considering the higher dimensional case. This last equation shows, in the case of a vanishing cosmological constant¹, that the sign of the acceleration of the universe, $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$, is given by the sign of the quantity $-(\varrho + 3P)$. In particular, if the universe is accelerating (as it is at the present time [36]) then $P < -\frac{\varrho}{3}$. It is clear that physical matter cannot be described by a negative pressure. However, the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to an interacting scalar field can lead, under the assumption that the scalar field depends on time only, to negative pressure. The scalar field representation of the energy-momentum tensor in this case is known as quintessence [37].

Another important equation is derived from the conservation equation $\nabla_\mu T_\nu^\mu = 0$. This is a direct consequence of the Bianchi identities of the Riemann tensor in the above equations of motion. It reads

$$\dot{\varrho}a + 3(\varrho + P)\dot{a} = 0 \quad . \quad (2.7)$$

The physical interpretation of this last equation is better understood by rewriting it in the form

¹Alternatively, the cosmological constant Λ can be absorbed by redefining the energy density and the pressure as follows: $\varrho \longrightarrow \varrho_{\text{tot}} - 2\alpha\Lambda$ and $P \longrightarrow P_{\text{tot}} + 2\alpha\Lambda$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(a^3 \varrho) + P \frac{d}{dt}(a^3) = 0 . \quad (2.8)$$

This means that the rate of change of total energy in a volume element of size $V = a^3$ is equal to minus the pressure times the change of volume: $dE = -PdV$.

It is this last equation which will be our guiding principle when dealing with the Kaluza-Klein case. It allows us to define an effective pressure, $\tilde{p}$, such that an equation of the form $dE = -\tilde{p}dV$ can always be found.

III. KALUZA-KLEIN COSMOLOGY

We would like to investigate now whether the equations of the previous section can be derived from higher dimensions. The starting point for this study is the action functional

$$S = \beta \int d^D x \sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} \{ \mathcal{R} - 2\lambda \} + \mathcal{S}_m^{(D)} , \quad (3.1)$$

where $D = 4 + d$, $\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci scalar corresponding to the D -dimensional metric $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ ($i, j, \dots = 0, \dots, 3 + d$) and β is the higher dimensional gravitational coupling constant. We have also included a cosmological constant λ and a matter action $\mathcal{S}_m^{(D)}$. Varying the above action with respect to $\mathcal{G}^{ij}$, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij} \equiv 2\beta \left(\mathcal{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{ij} \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{G}_{ij} \lambda \right) - \mathcal{T}_{ij} = 0 . \quad (3.2)$$

Here $\mathcal{T}_{ij}$ is the energy-momentum tensor derived from the matter action $\mathcal{S}_m^{(D)}$.

A cosmological setting based on Kaluza-Klein theory could be realised through a generalisation of the homogeneous Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-time. More specifically, the higher dimensional metric $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ is chosen to have the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right] + b(t)^2 \gamma_{ab}(y) dy^a dy^b , \quad (3.3)$$

where the extra coordinates are denoted by y^a ($a, b, \dots = 4, \dots, 3 + d$) and the compact manifold is described by the metric γ_{ab} . This spatial manifold is chosen to be maximally symmetric with a Riemann tensor given by $R_{abcd} = \kappa (\gamma_{ac}\gamma_{bd} - \gamma_{ad}\gamma_{bc})$, where κ is a constant. The parameter K is the constant curvature of the spatial manifold spanned by the coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) . Notice that if the scale factor $b(t)$ gets extremely small, then the sizes of the extra dimensions shrink to zero and the space-time becomes an effective four-dimensional one. This is the main idea behind dynamical compactification.

The form of the energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_j^i$ is dictated by Einstein's equations and by the symmetries of the above metric. In this case it is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_j^i = \text{diag} [-\rho(t), p(t), p(t), p(t), p_d(t), \dots, p_d(t)] , \quad (3.4)$$

where $p_d(t)$ is the pressure along the extra dimensions. We can now proceed to the analyses of the equations of motion. The component $\mathcal{H}_0^0$ yields the energy density

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2\beta} &= \left\{ 3H^2 + \frac{3K}{a^2} - \lambda \right\} + \frac{1}{2}d(d-1) \frac{\dot{b}^2}{b^2} + 3d \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\dot{b}}{b} + \frac{d}{2}(d-1) \frac{\kappa}{b^2} \\ &\equiv \left\{ 3H^2 + \frac{3K}{a^2} - \lambda \right\} + \frac{\rho_0}{2\beta} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ denotes the Hubble parameter as before. Next we have $\mathcal{H}_1^1 = \mathcal{H}_2^2 = \mathcal{H}_3^3$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{2\beta} &= \left\{ -2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - H^2 - \frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda \right\} - d\frac{\ddot{b}}{b} - \frac{1}{2}d(d-1) \frac{\ddot{b}^2}{b^2} - 2d\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\dot{b}}{b} - \frac{d}{2}(d-1) \frac{\kappa}{b^2} \\ &\equiv \left\{ -2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - H^2 - \frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda \right\} + \frac{p_0}{2\beta} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, the components $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ lead to the single equation

$$\frac{p_d}{2\beta} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3H^2 - \frac{3K}{a^2} + \lambda - (d-1)\frac{\ddot{b}}{b} - \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)\frac{\dot{b}^2}{b^2} - 3(d-1)\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)\frac{\kappa}{b^2} . \quad (3.7)$$

The expressions between curly brackets in the equations of ρ and p resemble those found on the right-hand-side of the four-dimensional Friedmann-Robertson-Walker expressions in (2.5). Therefore, the four-dimensional energy density ϱ and pressure P are, respectively, identified with the quantities $(\rho - \rho_0)$ and $(p - p_0)$. This is the usual and standard interpretation of the higher dimensional equations of motion. In this interpretation, however, ϱ and P contain contributions involving the scale factors a and b . It seems also that the form of the scale factor b does not intervene (in other words, the resulting four-dimensional quantities do not know whether b is increasing or decreasing with time). The natural question to be asked now is whether there are other interpretations of the higher dimensional equations of motion. It will be shown in this note that the answer to this question is affirmative. In particular, the four-dimensional quantities ρ and P are found to be given by linear combinations of ρ , p and p_d without any explicit dependence on the scale factors a . This interpretation is, however, associated with a specific choice of the scale factor b .

A full comparison with the four-dimensional case, however, requires also the determination of the equivalent of the conservation equation (2.8). This could only result from the higher dimensional conservation equation. As a consequence of the Bianchi identities of the Riemann tensor, the equations of motion lead to $\nabla_i T_j^i = 0$. Explicitly, this conservation equation reads

$$\left\{ \frac{d}{dt} (a^3 \rho) + p \frac{d}{dt} (a^3) \right\} + da^3 \frac{\dot{b}}{b} (\rho + p_d) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

Again, the quantity between curly brackets is of the form of the four-dimensional conservation equation (2.8). In the standard interpretation of the higher dimensional equations, this last equations takes, as expected, the form $\frac{d}{dt} [a^3 (\rho - \rho_0)] + (p - p_0) \frac{d}{dt} (a^3) = 0$.

The key point in the alternative interpretation of the higher dimensional equations of motion resides in the last equation (3.8). It is the factor in the last term of this equation, $a^3 \frac{\dot{b}}{b}$, which one has to handle with care. In the simplest of all cases, one could make this term to vanish by choosing the scale factor $b(t)$ to be a constant equal to b_0 . In this situation, the conservation equation (3.8) is equivalent to the conservation equation of four dimensions (2.8). Furthermore, the expressions of ρ in (3.5) and p in (3.6) yield the four-dimensional Friedmann-Robertson-Walker equations (2.5) provided that we make the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= v^{(d)} \beta \\ \Lambda &= \lambda - \frac{d}{2} (d-1) \frac{\kappa}{b_0^2} \\ k &= K \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $v^{(d)}$ is the finite volume of the extra d -dimensional spatial manifold. The introduction of this volume is necessary in order for α to have the right dimensionality. Notice that the four-dimensional cosmological constant Λ could be adjusted to zero by tuning the curvature κ . However, if $d = 1$ then Λ vanishes only when the higher dimensional cosmological constant λ is itself zero. The components of the four-dimensional energy-momentum tensor are given by

$$\varrho = v^{(d)} \rho \quad , \quad P = v^{(d)} p . \quad (3.10)$$

The remaining equation, namely (3.7), expresses simply the pressure along the extra spatial directions. It is given by

$$\frac{p_d}{2\beta} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3H^2 - \frac{3K}{a^2} + \lambda - \frac{1}{2} (d-1)(d-2) \frac{\kappa}{b_0^2} . \quad (3.11)$$

Choosing $b(t) = b_0$ leads to simple expressions but it is very difficult to justify. Furthermore, in the context of dynamical compactification, b has to be a decreasing function of time.

The second situation we would like to examine now is when the scale factor $b(t)$ evolves in time. However, the dependence on time of b is chosen such that $a^3 \frac{\dot{b}}{b}$ is proportional to $\frac{d}{dt} (a^3)$. This is the case if we have

$$b = \frac{1}{a^n} . \quad (3.12)$$

The parameter n must be positive for dynamical compactification to take place. Therefore b gets smaller as the radius of our universe a becomes bigger.

The fact that now $a^3 \frac{\dot{b}}{b} = -\frac{n}{3} \frac{d}{dt} (a^3)$ allows one to write the conservation equation (3.8) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} (a^3 \rho) + \tilde{p} \frac{d}{dt} (a^3) = 0 , \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{p}$ is an effective pressure given by

$$\tilde{p} = p - \frac{dn}{3} (\rho + p_d) . \quad (3.14)$$

The higher dimensional conservation equation is now of the same form as that of four dimensions (2.8). Let us see what becomes of the other equations.

Substituting for b in (3.5), the expression of the energy density becomes

$$\frac{\rho}{2\beta} = \frac{1}{2} [6 + dn (dn - n - 6)] H^2 + \frac{3K}{a^2} - \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \kappa d (d - 1) a^{2n} \quad (3.15)$$

while that of the pressure p in (3.6) leads to

$$\frac{p}{2\beta} = (dn - 2) \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{2} [2 + dn (dn + n - 2)] H^2 - \frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \kappa d (d - 1) a^{2n} . \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, the pressure, p_d in (3.7) is found to be given by

$$\frac{p_d}{2\beta} = (dn - n - 3) \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{2} [6 + n (d - 1) (dn - 4)] H^2 - \frac{3K}{a^2} + \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \kappa (d - 1) (d - 2) a^{2n} . \quad (3.17)$$

Finally, the effective pressure, $\tilde{p}$, is computed from these last three equations and is found to take the form

$$\frac{\tilde{p}}{2\beta} = -\frac{1}{3} [6 + dn (dn - n - 6)] \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{6} [6 + dn (dn - n - 6)] H^2 - \frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda - \frac{1}{6} \kappa d (2n + 3) (d - 1) a^{2n} . \quad (3.18)$$

The terms proportional to a^{2n} in the expressions of ρ , p and p_d are physically unacceptable as it means that these quantities are increasing with the radius of the universe. This can of course happen if the universe is contracting which is not the case of interest to us here. The solution to this problem is to take either $d = 1$ or $\kappa = 0$. Therefore in the case of many compact dimensions, the scalar curvature of the compact manifold has to vanish.

Let us therefore consider the case when $\kappa = 0$ (if $d = 1$ then κ is automatically zero). The terms in the expressions of ρ in (3.15) and $\tilde{p}$ in (3.18) have, for $\kappa = 0$, the same relative factors as in the standard Freedmann-Robertson-Walker equations in (2.5). Indeed, the four-dimensional Freedmann-Robertson-Walker equations are recovered from equations (3.15) and (3.18) provided that one makes the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\beta}{6} [6 + dn (dn - n - 6)] v^{(d)} \\ k &= \frac{6K}{[6 + dn (dn - n - 6)]} \\ \Lambda &= \frac{6\lambda}{[6 + dn (dn - n - 6)]} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The four-dimensional quantities ϱ and P are then identified with

$$\varrho = v^{(d)} \rho , \quad P = v^{(d)} \tilde{p} . \quad (3.20)$$

We are, of course, assuming here that $[6 + dn (dn - n - 6)] \neq 0$.

In conclusion, the three equations of motion of the higher dimensional theory (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), can be combined in such a way that two of these, (3.15) and (3.18), yield the equations of motion of ordinary four-dimensional cosmology. The third equation, namely (3.17), expresses simply the pressure along the extra dimensions in terms of the radius $a(t)$ of the observed universe. It is interesting to notice that although the sizes of the extra dimensions evolve towards zero, the pressure along these directions does not necessarily vanish. Furthermore, taking $\kappa = 0$ and combining the expressions of ρ in (3.15) and $\tilde{p}$ in (3.18), we obtain

$$[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{1}{2\beta} (\rho + 3\tilde{p}) - 2\lambda = 0 . \quad (3.21)$$

Again, this equation is of the same form as the four-dimensional equation (2.6).

At this stage some remarks are appropriate. We observe, from the first relation in (3.19), that in order for the coupling constant α to be positive, one must have either $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] > 0$ and β positive or $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] < 0$ and β negative. The latter situation corresponds to a ‘repulsive gravitational interaction’ in the higher dimensional theory! Furthermore, for $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] < 0$, the signs of the four-dimensional quantities k and Λ are opposite to those of K and λ , respectively. Finally, for large n , both the four-dimensional cosmological constant Λ and the spatial curvature k tend to zero.

Another observation concerns equation (3.21). This equation, upon using (3.19), is written as

$$6\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} (\rho + 3\tilde{p}) v^{(d)} - 2\Lambda = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

which is, as expected, of the same form as the four-dimensional equation (2.6). In the case of a vanishing λ (implying that $\Lambda = 0$), the sign of $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$ is given by the sign of the quantity $-(\rho + 3\tilde{p})$. Therefore, an accelerating universe is obtained when the effective pressure $\tilde{p}$ is such that $\tilde{p} < -\frac{\rho}{3}$. However, this is now acceptable as $\tilde{p}$ is only an effective pressure and all that $\tilde{p} < -\frac{\rho}{3}$ means is that $p < (dn - 1)\frac{\rho}{3} + \frac{dn}{3}p_d$. That is, the four-dimensional pressure $\tilde{p}$ could be negative when the higher dimensional pressures p and p_d are positive.

We return now to the discussion of the case when $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] = 0$. Here we have the following two equations for the energy density and the effective pressure:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2\beta} &= \frac{3K}{a^2} - \lambda \\ \frac{\tilde{p}}{2\beta} &= -\frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda . \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

These equations are of the form of the four-dimensional equations (2.5) only for a radius $a(t)$ satisfying

$$H^2 = \frac{\omega}{a^2} + \gamma , \quad (3.24)$$

where ω and γ are two constants. This conclusion is reached by comparing the two expressions of the energy densities in (3.23) and (2.5). The general solution to this last differential equation, if $\gamma \neq 0$, is given by

$$a(t) = \psi \exp(\sqrt{\gamma} t) - \frac{\omega}{4\psi\gamma} \exp(-\sqrt{\gamma} t) , \quad (3.25)$$

where ψ is an integration constant. Substituting this expression of $a(t)$ into the four-dimensional equations in (2.5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varrho}{2\alpha} &= 3(\omega + k) \frac{1}{a^2} - (\Lambda - 3\gamma) \\ \frac{P}{2\alpha} &= -(\omega + k) \frac{1}{a^2} + (\Lambda - 3\gamma) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

These equations are of the form of the higher dimensional equations (3.23) upon the identification

$$\begin{aligned} \beta K v^{(d)} &= \alpha(k + \omega) \\ \beta \lambda v^{(d)} &= \alpha(\Lambda - 3\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

together with $\varrho = v^{(d)}\rho$ and $P = v^{(d)}\tilde{p}$. We see that in the last equation there are only two relations for the determination of the three parameters α , k and Λ . With $a(t)$ as given by (3.25), the corresponding pressure along the extra dimensions is given by

$$\frac{p_d}{2\beta} = -[n(d+2)\omega + 3K] \frac{1}{a^2} + [\lambda - 3(n+1)\gamma] . \quad (3.28)$$

Here n and d are related by $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] = 0$. We notice, in particular, that p_d can be made to vanish by taking $K = -n(d+2)\frac{\omega}{3}$ and $\lambda = 3(n+1)\gamma$.

The solution (3.25) represents an inflationary epoch if the constant γ is positive. Indeed, we have $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \gamma$ and the expansion of the universe is accelerating for a positive γ . If on the other hand γ is negative then $a(t) = \sqrt{-\frac{\omega}{\gamma}} \cos(\sqrt{-\gamma}t + \zeta)$, where ζ is a constant and ω is assumed positive. This oscillation in time causes the universe to expand and to collapse repeatedly. However, this behaviour of $a(t)$ might not be suitable for dynamical compactification. It is also worth examining the case when $\gamma = 0$. Here the radius takes the form $a(t) = \pm\sqrt{\omega}t + \chi$ for some constant χ and ω must be positive.

Finally, an equation of state relating the energy density ρ and the pressure p emerges from (3.23). This is given by

$$\tilde{p} = -\frac{1}{3}\rho + \frac{4}{3}\beta\lambda . \quad (3.29)$$

This equation holds regardless of whether the universe is accelerating ($\gamma > 0$) or decelerating ($\gamma < 0$). This is so because there is no relation relating $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$ and $(\rho + 3\tilde{p})$ as in (3.21).

IV. PARTICULAR SOLUTIONS IN FIVE DIMENSIONS

One of the first solutions in the context of dynamical compactification was presented in ref. [2]. The model considered there is a five-dimensional one and a solution was found in the vacuum. In our language, this solution corresponds to the particular case $d = 1$ and $n = 1$ together with setting the curvature $K = 0$ and the five-dimensional cosmological constant $\lambda = 0$. In this case, the five-dimensional expressions of ρ in (3.15) and $\tilde{p}$ in (3.18) yield $\rho = \tilde{p} = 0$ regardless of the function $a(t)$. The expression of p_1 ($p_1 = p_d$ for $d = 1$) in (3.17), on the other hand, reduces to

$$\frac{p_1}{2\beta} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3H^2 . \quad (4.1)$$

When the pressure p_1 vanishes (since we are in the vacuum) then the radius is given by

$$a(t) = \sqrt{\mu t + \nu} \quad (4.2)$$

where μ and ν are two integration constants. The solution of ref. [2] is then found by taking $\nu = 0$.

We have already presented in the previous section a particular solution when $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] = 0$. To add to the above examples, let us investigate whether other interesting solutions can be found. We will consider here for simplicity the five-dimensional model only². We start by examining the case for which the pressure along the fifth dimension vanishes, $p_1 = 0$. This is dictated by the fact that the fifth dimension in our model tends to zero with time. It is therefore natural to require that $p_1 = 0$. The equation $p_1 = 0$ takes the form

$$\frac{p_1}{2\beta} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3H^2 - \frac{3K}{a^2} + \lambda = 0 . \quad (4.3)$$

This equation can be simplified by making the change of variables

$$a(t) = \sqrt{h(t)} . \quad (4.4)$$

Our differential equation, $p_1 = 0$, reduces then to

$$3\ddot{h} - 2\lambda h + 6K = 0 . \quad (4.5)$$

The solution to this equation, if $\lambda \neq 0$, is given by

$$h(t) = \frac{3K}{\lambda} + B \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\lambda} t\right) + C \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\lambda} t\right) , \quad (4.6)$$

where B and C are two integration constants. The corresponding expression for the energy density in (3.15) is found to be

²We are assuming that $n \neq 1$ as the pair of values $d = 1$ and $n = 1$ is a solution to $[6 + dn(dn - n - 6)] = 0$ and this case has been discussed before.

$$\frac{\rho}{2\beta} = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} (n-1) (9K^2 - 4BC\lambda^2) \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{3nK}{h} - (n+1) \frac{\lambda}{2} . \quad (4.7)$$

Of course the different parameters in this last expression are such that ρ is positive. Similarly, the expression of the effective pressure in (3.18) yields

$$\frac{\tilde{p}}{2\beta} = -\frac{1}{6\lambda} (n-1) (9K^2 - 4BC\lambda^2) \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{nK}{h} + (n+1) \frac{\lambda}{2} . \quad (4.8)$$

By expressing h in terms of ρ and replacing it in the expression of $\tilde{p}$, we get the following equation of state

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\rho - 4\beta \left[\frac{nK}{h} - (n+1) \frac{\lambda}{3} \right] , \quad (4.9)$$

where h is given in terms of ρ by the expression

$$h = \frac{\beta}{[\rho + (n+1)\beta\lambda]} \left\{ 3nK \pm \sqrt{9n^2K^2 - \frac{1}{\beta\lambda} (n-1) (9K^2 - 4BC\lambda^2) [\rho + (n+1)\beta\lambda]} \right\} \quad (4.10)$$

Notice that if $K = 0$ then this equation of state is that of a radiation dominated universe in the presence of a cosmological constant equal to $\beta(n+1)\lambda$. Finally, the acceleration of the universe is given by

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{6} \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} (9K^2 - 4BC\lambda^2) \frac{1}{h^2} \right] \quad (4.11)$$

with $h(t)$ as given in (4.6). Hence, one could not in general determine the sign of this acceleration. An accelerating universe, for example, is obtained for $(9K^2 - 4BC\lambda^2) < 0$ and a positive λ . In this case the effective pressure $\tilde{p}$ is negative which simply means that $p < (n-1)\frac{\rho}{3}$. We should mention here that if $n < 1$ then β is positive while $n > 1$ forces β to be negative. Finally, a negative cosmological constant λ leads to an oscillatory regime for the radius $a = \sqrt{h}$.

We have assumed so far that $\lambda \neq 0$. Let us now discuss the case when $\lambda = 0$. With this value of λ , the solution to equation (4.5) is given by

$$h(t) = -Kt^2 + zt + w \quad (4.12)$$

for some two integration constants z and w . The corresponding expressions for ρ and $\tilde{p}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2\beta} &= -\frac{3}{4} (n-1) (4K\omega + z^2) \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{3nK}{h} \\ \frac{\tilde{p}}{2\beta} &= -\frac{1}{4} (n-1) (4K\omega + z^2) \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{nK}{h} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $h(t)$ is as given by (4.12). The equation of state relating ρ and $\tilde{p}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\rho - \frac{4\beta nK}{h} . \quad (4.14)$$

The acceleration of the universe is found to be given by

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{1}{4} (4K\omega + z^2) \frac{1}{h^2} . \quad (4.15)$$

The universe is, in particular, in a period of deceleration and is radiation dominated if $K = 0$.

The other particular case we would like to study corresponds to the situation when $p_1 = -\rho$. This choice is interesting as it leads to $\tilde{p} = p$. The general solution to the differential equation $p_1 = -\rho$, which reads $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + nH^2 = 0$, is

$$a(t) = [(n+1)(qt + u)]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} , \quad (4.16)$$

where q and u are two integration constants. This expansion is, however, a slow one. The corresponding expressions for the energy density ρ and the effective pressure $\tilde{p}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho}{2\beta} &= -\frac{3q^2(n-1)}{a^{2(n+1)}} + \frac{3K}{a^2} - \lambda \\ \frac{\tilde{p}}{2\beta} &= -\frac{q^2(n-1)(2n-1)}{a^{2(n+1)}} - \frac{K}{a^2} + \lambda\end{aligned}\quad (4.17)$$

with $a(t)$ as given in (4.16). We notice that the energy density ρ and the effective pressure $\tilde{p}$ are, when $n \neq \frac{1}{2}$, related by

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}(2n-1)\rho - \frac{2\beta}{3}\left[\frac{6nK}{a^2} - 2(n+1)\lambda\right]. \quad (4.18)$$

On the other hand when $n = \frac{1}{2}$ then we have

$$\tilde{p} = -\frac{\rho}{3} + \beta\left[\frac{q^2}{a^3} + \frac{4}{3}\lambda\right]. \quad (4.19)$$

The acceleration of the universe is given, for any value of n , by the expression

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{nq^2}{a^{2(n+1)}}. \quad (4.20)$$

The universe, for this solution, is slowly expanding and going through a deceleration phase.

V. DISCUSSION

We have found, in the context of Kaluza-Klein cosmology, a higher dimensional metric which dynamically evolves towards an effective four-dimensional one. This metric depends on two scale factors: one is interpreted as the radius of our universe while the other tends to zero with time. In addition, the compact space has to be flat ($\kappa = 0$). The remarkable feature of the model constructed here is that it gives exactly the four-dimensional Friedmann-Robertson-Walker equations of standard cosmology. The component of the four-dimensional energy-momentum tensor are expressed as linear combinations of their higher dimensional counterparts. In particular, the pressure in our universe $\tilde{p} = p - \frac{dn}{3}(\rho + p_d)$ could be negative when the higher dimensional quantities ρ , p and p_d are all positive. This fact could provide an explanation for the negative pressure needed to account for the present acceleration of our universe. This would be an alternative to the quintessence scalar field.

The parameters of the four-dimensional world (α, k, Λ) are given in terms of the parameters of the higher dimensional theory (β, K, λ, n, d). We noticed that peculiar properties appear when the parameter n is in the range

$$\frac{1}{d-1}\left[3 - \sqrt{3 + \frac{6}{d}}\right] < n < \frac{1}{d-1}\left[3 + \sqrt{3 + \frac{6}{d}}\right] \quad (5.1)$$

and $d \neq 1$. First of all the higher dimensional gravitational constant β has to be negative for the four-dimensional one, α , to be positive. In the same range of n , the curvature K and the cosmological constant λ are of opposite signs to the four-dimensional quantities k and Λ . In order to have a very rapid dynamical dimensional reduction, large values of n are needed. In this case (when $n \rightarrow \infty$), the resulting four-dimensional universe is spatially flat ($k \rightarrow 0$) with a vanishing cosmological constant ($\Lambda \rightarrow 0$).

The five-dimensional case ($d = 1$) deserves a special mention. Here, the five-dimensional coupling constant β is negative for $n > 1$ (that is for a rapidly decreasing b). Similarly, for $n > 1$, the constants K and λ have the opposite signs of k and Λ .

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank the members of the Division of Theoretical Physics at the University of Liverpool for their hospitality. This research is supported by the CNRS.

- [1] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos and P. G. O. Freund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, Frontiers in Physics Series, (Volume **65**), 1986, (Ed. Addison-Wesley).
- [2] A. Chodos and S. Detweiler, *Where has the fifth-dimension gone?*, Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 2167.
- [3] P. Forgács and Z. Horvath, *The influence of extra dimensions to the homogeneous isotropic universe*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **11** (1979) 205.
- [4] P. G. O. Freund, *Kaluza-Klein Cosmologies*, Nucl. Phys. **B209** (1982) 146.
- [5] P. Ramond, *Remarks on dynamical dimensional reduction*, Florida U. preprint, UFTP-82-21 (1982), Published in Johns Hopkins Workshop (1982) 0223.
- [6] T. Dereli and R. W. Tucker, *Dynamical reduction of internal dimensions in the early universe*, Phys. Lett. **B125** (1983) 133.
- [7] E. Alvarez and M. B. Gavela, *Entropy from extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 931.
- [8] Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, *Cosmology from higher dimensional gravity*, Phys. Lett. **B129** (1983) 387.
- [9] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Kaluza-Klein cosmologies at late times*, Phys. Lett. **B142** (1984) 344.
- [10] W. J. Marciano, *Time variation of the fundamental 'constants' and Kaluza-Klein theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 489.
- [11] R. Bergamini and C. A. Orzalesi, *Towards a cosmology for multidimensional unified theory*, Phys. Lett. **B135** (1984) 38.
- [12] G. F. Chapline and G. W. Gibbons, *Unification of elementary particle physics and cosmology in ten dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B135** (1984) 43.
- [13] S. Randjbar-Daemi, Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, *On Kaluza-Klein Cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **B135** (1984) 388.
- [14] K. Maeda, *Is cosmological dimensional reduction possible?*, Phys. Lett. **B138** (1984) 269.
- [15] M. Yoshimura, *Effective action and cosmological evolution of scale factors in higher dimensional curved space-time*, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984) 344.
- [16] D. Sahdev, *Towards a realistic Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **B137** (1984) 155.
- [17] R. B. Abbott, S. M. Barr and S. D. Ellis, *Kaluza-Klein cosmologies and inflation*, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984) 720; *Kaluza-Klein cosmologies and inflation. 2*, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 673.
- [18] E. W. Kolb, D. Lindley and D. Seckel, *More dimensions - Less entropy*, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984) 1205.
- [19] W. Kolb and R. Slansky, *Dimensional reduction in the early universe: Where have the massive particles gone?*, Phys. Lett. **B135** (1984) 378.
- [20] M. Gleiser, S. Rajpoot and J. G. Taylor, *Cosmologies in ten dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B138** (1984) 377; *Search for higher dimensional cosmologies*, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984) 756; *Higher dimensional cosmologies*, Annals Phys. **160** (1985) 299.
- [21] S. M. Barr and L. S. Brown, *On the entropy from extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev. **D29** (1984) 2779.
- [22] Y. Okada, *Evolution of Kaluza-Klein universe*, Nucl. Phys. **B264** (1986) 197; *Inflation in Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **B150** (1985) 103.
- [23] T. Koikawa and M. Yoshimura, *Observable consequences of dynamical compactification in Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **B150** (1985) 107; *Quantum vacuum energy and singularity free Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **B155** (1985) 137.
- [24] K. Maeda and H. Nishino, *Cosmological solutions in $D = 6$, $N = 2$ Kaluza-Klein supergravity: Friedmann universe without fine tuning*, Phys. Lett. **B154** (1985) 358.
- [25] A. Tomimatsu and H. Ishihara, *Dimensional reduction in an oscillatory Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **18** (1986) 161.
- [26] M. Demianski, Z. A. Golda, M. Heller and M. Szydlowski, *Kantowski-Sachs multidimensional cosmological models and dynamical dimensional reduction*, Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) 733.
- [27] M. Szydlowski and G. Pajdosz, *The dynamics of homogeneous multidimensional cosmological models* Class. Quant. Grav. **6** (1989) 1391.
- [28] B. Chakrabarty and R. Parthasarathy, *Dynamical compactification in Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Phys. Lett. **A142** (1989) 75.
- [29] H. Makaruk, *Dynamical reduction in multidimensional cosmological models*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **23** (1991) 1205.
- [30] N. Van den Bergh and R. K. Tavakol, *Sensitivity of dynamical dimensional reduction in Kaluza-Klein cosmology*, Class. Quant. Grav. **10** (1993) 183.
- [31] A. Banerjee, D. Panigrahi and S. Chatterjee, *A class of inhomogeneous cosmological models in Kaluza-Klein space-time*, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 1405; *Evolution of Kaluza-Klein inhomogeneous model with a cosmological constant*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 3619.
- [32] S.K. Srivastava, *Fundamental constants in singularity-free five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein cosmological model*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **34** (1995) 109.
- [33] A. A. Kirillov and V. N. Melnikov, *Compactification of extra dimensions in quantum inhomogeneous Kaluza-Klein models*, Phys. Lett. **B389** (1996) 221.
- [34] T. Appelquist and A. Chodos, *The quantum dynamics of Kaluza-Klein theories*, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 772; *Quantum effects of Kaluza-Klein theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 141.
- [35] P. Candelas and S. Weinberg, *Calculation of gauge couplings and compact circumferences from selfconsistent dimensional reduction*, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 397.

- [36] P. M. Garnavich et *al.*, *Supernova limits on the cosmic equation of state*, *Astrophys. J.* **509** (1998) 74, astro-ph/9806396.
- [37] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, *Cosmological consequences of a rolling homogeneous scalar field*, *Phys. Rev.* **D37** (1988) 3406.